

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ONB-101-A 10/730,457 12/08/2003 Bethan O'Neill 2921 EXAMINER 09/09/2005 BOLES, DEREK Thomas D. Helmholdt Young & Basile, P.C. PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT Suite 624 3001 West Big Beaver Road Troy, MI 48084 3749

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U
\sim

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/730,457	O'NEILL ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
·	Derek S. Boles	3749		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 De</u>	ecember 2003.			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims .				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-14 and 16-20</u> is/are rejected.			
	7) Claim(s) 5 and 15 is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da			

Application/Control Number: 10/730,457

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 8, 11 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cohen et al. (3,159,165). See figs. 2 and 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim(s) 2-4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, -14 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen et al. in view of Meyer et al. (2,939,467). Cohen et al. discloses all of the limitations of the claim(s) except for a flap of flexible material wherein the flap is movable between a storage position and a closed position. Meyer et al. discloses the presence of a flap of flexible material wherein the flap is movable between a storage position and a closed position.

See fig. 3. Hence, one skilled in the art would find it obvious to modify the system of Cohen et al. to include the a flap of flexible material wherein the flap is movable between a storage position and a closed position of Meyer et al. for the purpose of protection against the elements.

Application/Control Number: 10/730,457

Art Unit: 3749

Claims 6, 9, 16 and 18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen et al.. It is well-known in the art of HVAC to design a sleeve with an elastic periphery. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the features of a sleeve with an elastic periphery into the system of Cohen for the purpose of protection against the elements.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The provided references are representative of the state of the art that is applicable to the applicant's invention. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Derek S. Boles at (571) 272-4872.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D.S.B.

DERECE S. BOLES
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 3700

Page 3

8/31/05